

Statement of Consultation

Ensuring a Choice of Travel Supplementary Planning Document

August 2010

1. Introduction

- 1.1 The Town and Country Planning (Local Development)(England) Regulations 2004 (Regulation 17) state that before a Local Planning Authority adopt a Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) they must prepare a statement setting out:
 - i) the names of any persons whom the authority consulted in connection with the preparation of the SPD,
 - ii) how the persons were consulted,
 - iii) a summary of the main issues raised in these consultations,
 - iv) how these have been addressed in the SPD.
- 1.2 The Government's Planning Policy Statement 12 (PPS12) requires that the consultation arrangements for SPDs be set out in the local planning authority's Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) but as a minimum the authority should:
 - make the supplementary planning document available for inspection at their principle office and other public places, together with any supporting documents which will help people to understand what they are being asked to comment on;
 - place the same documents on their website;
 - send a copy to the Government Office if the Government Office has asked to see it;
 - send a copy to any other bodies referred to in Regulation 17 (3), and
 - advertise in a local newspaper when and where the documents can be inspected, and,
 - ensure that adequate publicity is given to the documents.

2. <u>The Consultation Process</u>

- 2.1 The Ensuring a Choice of Travel SPD was developed in close partnership with the other districts on Merseyside and Merseytravel with the aim of ensuring a relatively consistent approach to securing access to new development by a choice of transport modes. In order to ensure that a consistent approach could be secured, an initial draft of the SPD was produced for the whole Merseyside area. This initial drafting was then adapted to suit the district specific needs of Knowsley to form the Knowsley "Ensuring a Choice of Travel" SPD.
- 2.2 As part of the production of the SPD, Mott MacDonald were commissioned to undertake an integrated Sustainability Appraisal (SA) and Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) incorporating Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA). The Sustainability Appraisal process has enabled the social, economic and environmental impacts of this SPD to be evaluated. The Sustainability Appraisal informed persons wishing to make representations on the SPD of the likely impacts the options will have.
- 2.3 The Knowsley Council's policies on involving the public in new planning documents are set down in the Statement of Community Involvement. This was adopted on 30th May 2007. The first stage in developing this Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) was the public consultation stage. This commenced on Thursday the 18th February and finished on Thursday the 1st April.
- 2.4 The relevant documents; that is, the draft SPD and its Sustainability Appraisal and Habitats Regulations Assessment, were placed on the Council's website and were also made available for inspection at the Council's main office at Huyton town centre, the One-Stop-Shops at Kirkby, Prescot and Halewood, and all of the Borough's libraries.
- 2.5 In accordance with guidance relating to public consultation contained in Planning Policy Statement 12, all relevant persons and organisations contained within the LDF database were advised of the draft SPD and the consultation procedure. This included:
 - For persons and organisations who expressed a preference for communication by letter; a letter that included details of the appropriate link to the Knowsley Metropolitan Borough Council Planning website containing the draft SPD and of the period of consultation.
 - For persons and organisations who expressed a preference for communication by email; electronic link to the Limehouse online consultation system and invitation to submit their comments via that system.
- 2.6 Copies of the draft SPD were also submitted to the Government Office for the North West.
- 2.7 Appendix 2 contains the comments received as a result of the public consultation together with the Council's response to the representations. Proposals for amending the draft SPD, resulting from the public consultation, were accepted by the Knowsley MBC Cabinet meeting on 4th August 2010 when it resolved that the SPD be adopted, subject to presentational changes.
- 2.8 Appendix 3 contains comments received after the end of the public consultation. Although they were received after the consultation period ended, the comments related to improvement to clarity of the document and so have been acted upon.

2.9 Appendix 4 contains amendments relating to the Government's intention to abolish the Regional Spatial Strategy and its implications for the SPD. It also contains further improvements to the clarity of the document.

APPENDIX 1

STATEMENT OF SPD MATTERS

The public notice, comprising the Statement of SPD Matters, is reproduced below. This notice was posted on the Council's website and appeared in the Liverpool Daily Post newspaper on Thursday 18 February 2010. It indicates that the consultation on the draft SPD ran for a period of six weeks to Thursday 1st April. This is in accordance with Regulation 18 (3).



APPENDIX 2

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS RECEIVED DURING THE CONSULTATION PERIOD

Whole Document Number Full Name Organisation Comments Council Response Details Mr Albert cannot find any specific proposals The purpose of the SPD is to provide **McCormick** relating to future travel plans, only guidance that will ensure a choice of general background information for travel modes to all new development. potential developers. Miss Rachael Bust Deputy Head of confirm that we have no specific Noted. Planning and comments to make on this document at Local Authority this stage. We look forward to receiving Liaison your emerging planning policy related Department Coal documents: preferably in an electronic Authority format. For your information, we can receive documents via our generic email address planningconsultation@coal.gov.uk, on a CD/DVD, or a simple hyperlink which is emailed to our generic email address and links to the document on your website. Alternatively, please mark all paper consultation documents and correspondence for the attention of the Planning and Local Authority Liaison Department. Should you require any assistance please contact a member of Planning and Local Authority Liaison at The Coal Authority on our departmental direct line (01623 637119).

Number	Full Name	Organisation Details	Comments	Council Response
	Mr Stephen Hedley	Natural England	We are generally supportive of the measures proposed within the SPD: Ensuring a Choice of Travel where they reduce the need to travel, and promote walking, cycling, car sharing and public transport and where the measures will decrease reliance on using motor vehicles., thereby reducing greenhouse gases which can contribute to climate change which represents the most serious long-term threat to the natural environment. We note that this document goes some way to fulfill these criteria.	Noted.
	Ms Samantha Turner	Officer North	4NW's aim is to focus our resources on our input into Development Plan Documents and Regionally Significant Planning Applications. This means that we are not usually able to provide bespoke responses on SPDs, other non statutory planning guidance and scoping requests. Consequently we have developed a standard response for SPD and similar consulations. This provides background on the Regional Spatial Strategy and emerging Regional Strategy, web links to a number of strategy and guidance documents produced by 4NW, and a series of RSS policy pointers for key SPD topics.	

Number	Full Name	Organisation Details	Comments	Council Response
	Mr Kieron O'Neill		I can't have any objection's to this planning document. It is detailed, progressive, cumbersome, fair and many other things. Those involved in constructing it can be proud if not drained. To uphold all the statements and actions being promised is certainly going to be attempted but I will find it hard if not impossible to see failures or logistical inaddicasies resulting. Such is the nature a Councils responsibilities that a document of this nature cannot tick every box and satisfy all attempted solutions. The main thing I see is that the document fullfils 100% of what it is meant to be. All future related documents should and will follow in the same way. The future thankfully will lead to Knowsleys fullfilment of a 'choice of travel' but ensuring as high a pecentage of fulfilment is reached is all that can honestly be achieved.	Noted.
	Alex Naughton	Transport Policy Officer Merseytravel	This Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) has been developed in partnership with the Merseyside Local Authorities and Merseytravel in order to provide consistent guidance to developers on the access and transport requirements for new development across the wider Merseyside area. We fully support this approach and the objectives of the Transport SPD as set	Noted.

Number	Full Name	Organisation Details	Comments	Council Response
			out in the document.	
	Alex Naughton	Transport Policy Officer Merseytravel	We ask that Knowsley Council try to ensure that sufficient resources are provided to enable enforcement of the principles and standards set out in the Transport SPD. This will be vital to the successful implementation of this Transport SPD and the principles and standards contained within it.	Noted.
	Mr Philip Megson	Strategic Planning Manager Lancashire County Council	I note that the SPD is in conformity with the approved North West of England Plan: Regional Spatial Strategy to 2021. I do not have any further comments on the content of the SPD.	Noted.
	Rose Freeman	Planning Assistant The Theatres Trust	Due to the specific nature of the Trust's remit we are concerned with the protection and promotion of theatres and as this consultation is not directly relevant to the Trust's work, we have no comment to make but look forward to being consulted on further LDF documents especially the next stage of the Core Strategy, Development Control Policies, town centre Area Action Plans and Planning Obligations SPD.	

Chapter 2 – Policy Background

2.2	Ms Victoria	LDF Manager	We would like to see reference made to	Paragraph 6.10 amended to "More
		Highways Ägency	Department for Transport Guidance for Transport Assessments and also Circular 02/2007.	0 1
				detailed guidance."

Chapter 4 - Parking

Paragraph	Ms Victoria	LDF Manager	The Agency welcomes Policy T6, with	This is one of the purposes of the SPD.
4.1	Ridehaugh	Highways Agency	regard to parking standards for new	
			development we would hope that lower	
			levels of parking in areas of higher	
			accessibility will be encouraged by the	
			Council in order to reduce the demand	
			of travel by private car.	

• •	Mr Stephen Hedley		for drainage of parking areas. We note the current wording of the document states that such drainage should be via a SuDs scheme rather than must and would therefore suggest that further wording is incorporated into this point to outline in what circumstances options other than a SuDs scheme would be	The SPD can not create policy. It can only supplement existing policy. When the Flood Water management Act 2010 is operational the right of connection of surface water sewers will be removed. The Council, as 'SuDS Approving Body', will be responsible for deciding the best option for dealing with surface water. The wording will remain as 'Drainage should normally be via a Sustainable Drainage system (SuDS)."
	Ms Victoria Ridehaugh	Highways Agency	We agree with, and support, the inclusion of car free housing and car clubs in this SPD.	Noted.

Chapter 5 - Accessibility

Chapter 5 - Accessibility	•		We do very much support the use of an Accessibility Assessment checklist for proposed developments and the importance attached to locating development and achieving designs which maximise access by walking, cycling and public transport.	Noted.
U 1		LDF Manager Highways Agency		Noted.

Chapter 6 –	Transport Assessment and Transport Statements

	Natural England		Policy T8 of the Knowsley Replacement
Mr Stephen Hedley		Policy T8 of the Knowsley Replacement Unitary Development Plan, that reference is made to environmental indicators such as pollution and noise as specific criteria which may trigger the requirement for a transport assessment or transport statement. We	replicated in the SPD. Policies of the UDP can not be amended by Supplementary Planning Documents. The natural environment including biodiversity, geodiversity and landscape are protected by other policies of the Knowsley Replacement Unitary Development Plan.
 Ms Victoria Ridehaugh	Highways Ägency	We note that the size thresholds over which a Transport Assessment or Transport Statement is required are those set out in the Dept. of Transport's GTA and agree with this.	Noted.
Ms Victoria Ridehaugh		The SPD includes reference to the need to consult the Highways Agency as soon as possible for development proposals that affect trunk roads' and we are satisfied with this level of guidance to be included within the SPD.	Noted.

Chapter 7 – Travel Plans

Chapter 7 – Travel Plans	Mr Stephen Hedley	Natural England	We are supportive of travel planning, in particular because of the environmental benefits of reducing car dependency and encouraging the use of sustainable modes of transport. Equally, we support the implementation of the measures in travel plans through conditions, section 106 agreements or unilateral undertakings, as envisaged in Planning Policy Guidance Note 13. Natural England is supportive of measures that will reduce atmospheric pollution and in particular those schemes that reduce the need to travel. We recognise the role that CO 2 (and other greenhouse gases)have with regard to Climate Change and therefore travel plans that encourage alternative methods of travel or reduce the need to travel and therefore reduce greenhouse gas	
			therefore reduce greenhouse gas emissions are to be supported.	
Chapter 7 – Travel Plans	Ms Victoria Ridehaugh	LDF Manager Highways Agency	The Agency is encouraged by the emphasis given to travel plans within the SPD as travel planning is an important tool by which the demand for travel can be reduced and the mode share of sustainable transport can be increased for new developments.	Noted.

Chapter 8 – Air Quality Assessments

8 Ms Victoria	LDF Manager	The Agency is encouraged that the	Noted.
Ridehaugh	Highways Agency	issue of air quality is being raised in the	
		SPD.	

	Ms Victoria Ridehaugh	LDF Manager Highways Agency	The Agency welcomes the consideration of design and access statements in the SPD.	Noted.
• •	Mr Stephen Hedley	Natural England	Natural England advises that as well as the current list of requirements set out in the document, reference is made to how the local environment will be enhanced through the development, including for example appropriate planting schemes and habitat creation to enhance biodiversity on highway verges. This element could be incorporated into the section on "materials to be used for surfacing and street furniture" to give a category more closely related to high quality design and environmental enhancement, which would support the aims and objectives of the Merseyside Local Transport Plan 2006- 20011 of protecting and enhancing the environment (paragraph 2.5 of the document).	guidance that will ensure a choice of travel modes to all new development. A SPD covering design quality in new development will be produced. Paragraph 9.3 will not be changed.

Chapter 9 – Design and Access Statements

Chapter 10 - Implementation, Developer Contributions, and Monitoring

Chapter 10 -	Ms Victoria	LDF Manager	This approach is in keeping with the	Noted.
Implementation,	Ridehaugh	Highways	current system and the Agency is	
Developer		Agency	satisfied that this is an effective way	
Contributions,			to ensure enforcement.	

and Monitoring		

Appendix A - Parking Standards

A				
Appendix A -	Ms Kate Tinsley	WM Morrison	Comments on the Proposed Base	Paragraph 51 of PPG13 states that local
Parking			Maximum Car Parking Standard for	planning authorities should ensure that
Standards			Food Shops in Town Centres and	the levels of parking provided in
			elsewhere. The Company's objection	•
				promote sustainable transport choices.
			matters: The proposed base	Paragraph 53 of PPG13 states that local
			maximum car parking standard of 1	planning authorities may adopt more
			space per 16 sq.m Gross Floor Area	rigorous car parking standards than the
			(GFA) in Town Centres; and the	levels set out in Annex D. The SPD is
			proposed base maximum car parking	consistent with the Regional Spatial
			standard of 1 space per 15 sq.m	Strategy and its partial review. Although
			GFA in District and Local Centres;	it is the intension of the Government to
			Wm. Morrison Supermarkets plc	abolish the Regional Spatial Strategy,
			consider that the base maximum	the Council consider the car parking
			standard for car parking associated	standards to be robust.
			with new food retail developments	The SPD is seeking to ensure that the
			should reflect recent Government	same development standards are
			guidance set out in PPG13. Whilst	applied across Merseyside. In addition
			PPG13 sets out at Annex D the	to being consistent with car parking
			maximum car parking standards (for	standards in PPG13 and the RSS, this
			food retail of 1,000 sq.m gross	SPD is consistent with the SPDs that
				have been adopted across Merseyside.
			one space per 14 sq.m), para. 56 of	The car parking standards will not be
			the PPG notes that a balance has to	amended.
			be struck between encouraging new	
			investment in town centres by	
			providing adequate levels of parking,	
			and potentially increasing traffic	
			and potentially moredoing traffic	

congestion caused by too many cars.
It is noted that where retail and
leisure developments are located in
a town centre, or on an edge-of-
centre' site as defined by PPS6 (now
replaced by PPS4), Local Planning
Authorities should consider allowing
parking additional to the relevant
maximum standards provided the
Local Authority is satisfied that the
parking facilities will genuinely serve
the town centre as a whole and that
agreement to this has been secured
before planning permission has been
granted. Wm Morrison Supermarkets
plc support the broad approach set
out within PPS4 of directing new
retail development to town centres in
the first instance, in order to sustain
and enhance their vitality and
viability. The Company consider,
however, that this approach will work
in practice only if those centres can
be developed in a manner which
allows that development to be truly
competitive with existing retail
provision. Very often this would
involve being competitive with
existing foodstores which have larger
car parks. Most existing foodstores
are constructed with large car parks,
so as to be attractive to car-borne
shoppers. This means that in
circumstances where a new store is

to be proposed or development it	
must be of sufficient scale, and must	
also be sufficiently attractive to the	
bulk-food shopping public in order to	
be competitive. The way in which	
people shop determines the	
provision which a store should make	
in order to be competitive. A number	
of fundamental shopping habits	
underlie this: (a) the weekly bulk food	
shopping trip has become the norm.	
Its availability is expected by the	
shopping public. As the shopping trip	
is done in bulk, this can only	
realistically be undertaken by car.	
The volume of shopping is otherwise	
incapable of being transported in	
bulk; (b) the only alternative is to	
make many more trips by other	
modes, on each occasion carrying	
less shopping. To expect people to	
shop in this different fashion is both	
unrealistic and probably	
undeliverable given the work pattern	
of people in modern society; (c) this	
situation is very different from that of	
the journey to work, where a modal	
shift typically involves the daily	
journey being made by bus or train	
instead of the car. A modal shift for	
shopping is likely to involve a single	
weekly car trip being replaced by	
three or four bus trips. In broad	
terms, therefore, to fulfil the	

objectives of PPS4, it is necessary
for town centre retailing to be
competitive. To achieve this it must
provide sufficient car parking to
make the store as attractive as other
existing stores in the area, and to
ensure that foodstore facilities
operate efficiently without adverse
effects on the highway network. Car
parks associated with food retail
developments in or on the edge of
town centres can also provide short
term car parking facilities for
shoppers and visitors to the centre
which can serve the centre as a
whole. The provision of such spaces
could enhance the vitality and
viability of town centres. We note
that the SPD adopts the disabled
parking standards provided by the
Traffic Advisory Leaflet 5/95, Parking
for Disabled People which is referred
to in PPG13. Wm Morrison
Supermarkets plc suggests,
therefore, that the car parking
standards for food retail development
should be adopted in line all the
parking standards of PPG13 and be
as follows: One space per 14 sq.m
gross floor area for food retail
development in all locations.

Appendix A - Parking Standards	Ms Kate Tinsley	WM Morrison	Comments on the Proposed Cycle Parking Standard for Food Shops for Customers. The Company's objection to Appendix A relates to the following matter: The proposed	developments should be increased to promote more cycle use. The SPD promotes sustainable transport
			minimum cycle parking standard of 1 space per space per 140 sq.m gross floor area Wm. Morrison Supermarkets plc consider that the base minimum standards cycle parking for customers associated with new food shops as currently proposed, are unnecessarily high	as required in national policy. It is also consistent with the Regional Spatial Strategy and its partial review. Although it is the intension of the Government to abolish the Regional Spatial Strategy, the Council consider the car parking standards to be robust. The SPD is seeking to ensure that the
			and will result in the provision of spaces far in excess of the likely demand associated with food superstore development. This is likely to result in the inefficient use of land. It is considered that as food supermarkets generally cater for bulk food shopping purchases, that customers are unlikely to use this mode of travel to transport their	
			goods when undertaking such trips. It is suggested, therefore, that the cycle parking standards should be amended to read as follows: One cycle space per 500 sq.m GFA for customers.	

Appendix A - Parking Standards	Ms Kate Tinsley	WM Morrison	of the likely demand associated with	
			likely to result in the inefficient use of land. It should be noted that a typical Morrisons store measures approximately 6,500 sq.m GFA. When calculating the number of motorcycle spaces required for a	provision as demand increases.
			standards, a provision of 19 spaces	
			GFA . For a typical Morrisons store this would result in the provision of 13 spaces. Whilst we do not consider	

	there to be many occasions where all 13 motorcycle spaces would be occupied, motorcycles are able to make use of car parking spaces should it be necessary. It is considered that as food supermarkets generally cater for bulk food shopping purchases, that customers are unlikely to use this mode of travel to transport their goods when undertaking such trips.	
--	---	--

Appendix C - Accessibility Maps

Appendix C - Accessibility Maps	Alex Naughton	Officer Merseytravel		Each accessibility map will include "Please see Council website for most up to date map".
Appendix C - Accessibility Maps	Ms Victoria Ridehaugh	LDF Manager Highways Agency	We note the accessibility maps referred to at Appendix C and consider these to be a useful resource for determining accessibility	Noted.

	and appropriate for complimenting the accessibility assessment	

APPENDIX 3

COMMENTS RECEIVED AFTER THE END OF THE CONSULTATION PERIOD

Whole Document

Dianne Wheatley	West (GONW)		Appendix B will be supplemented with worked examples
Dianne Wheatley	GONW Local Planning Team	 Given the history and development of the document, the views of Merseytravel will be important. 	Noted.

Chapter 1 - Introduction

Paragraph 1.8	Dianne Wheatley	GONW Local	3) You may wish to expand para 1.8	Paragraph 1.8 will include the additional
		Planning Team	to show how the SPD will link/relate	sentence "The Core Strategy will include
			to the Core Strategy, albeit its	overarching policies to which this SPD
			development is still at an early stage.	will provide further detail."

Chapter 4 - Parking

Chapter 4 -	Dianne Wheatley	GONW Local	4) Section 4) Parking: we are	Noted.
Parking		Planning Team	pleased that landscaping/design and	
			Sustainable Urban Drainage issues	
			will be looked at for the development	
			of car parks, as recommended by the	
			Sustainability Appraisal process: this	
			is an area that can be neglected	
			within development and hopefully the	
			SPD will encourage higher quality in	
			design for new car parks.	

Chapter 10 – Implementation, Developer Contributions, and Monitoring

Ρ	aragraph 10.9	Dianne Wheatley	GONW Local	5) Para 10:I wasn't sure what was	The last bullet point in paragraph 10.9
			Planning Team	meant by the last target wording-	will be replaced by "The proportion of
				perhaps it can be looked at again?	developments meeting the requirements
					of the SPD."

<u>APPENDIX 4</u>

ADDITIONAL CHANGES PROPSOED TO THE SUPPLEMENTARY PLANNING DOCUMENT

Chapter 1 - Introduction

Paragraph 1.5	Paragraph 1.5 will include the additional
	sentence "The Government has
	expressed its intension to abolish the
	Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS). While
	the SPD is in accordance with the RSS it
	is not dependant on it. The abolition of
	the RSS will not affect the policies
	contained within the SPD."

Chapter 2 – Policy Background

Paragraph 2.2	New sentences at end of paragraph 2.2 "PPG13 sets maximum parking standards but does allow for local planning authorities to set more restrictive standards. This SPD is in conformity with national policy."
Paragraph 2.4	Wording to be changed to "This Supplementary Planning Document is in conformity with the RSS but is not dependant on it."

Chapter 4 - Parking

"The parking standards co Appendix A are based in the on the standards in the em review of the North West of Regional Spatial Strategy		19	
Appendix A are based in the end on the standards in the end review of the North West of Regional Spatial Strategy	Paragraph 4.4		Paragraph 4.4 wording to be changed to
on the standards in the err review of the North West of Regional Spatial Strategy			"The parking standards contained within
review of the North West of Regional Spatial Strategy			Appendix A are based in the most part
Regional Spatial Strategy			on the standards in the emerging partial
			review of the North West of England Plan
Government has expresse			Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS). The
			Government has expressed its

	commitment to abolish the RSS; the standards in this SPD are however, in conformity with national policy."
After paragraph 4.9	Additional paragraph "The Highway Authority will determine the suitability or otherwise of the dimensions, layout and location of parking spaces."
Paragraph 4.16	An additional footnote "For details of Sustainable Urban Drainage System design see 'The SuDS Manual' CIRIA document no. C697 available as a free download from www.ciria.org.uk/suds/publications.htm"

Chapter 5 - Accessibility

Paragraph 5.6	Paragraph to be replaced by "For all medium, large and major development proposals the developer will assess the accessibility of sites by completing this assessment scoring system in Appendix B. The assessment scores for a
	proposed development will be reviewed and compared by the Council to minimum accessibility scores which have been developed with other authorities and partners on Merseyside. If it is considered necessary, the Council will use this comparison as a basis for
	seeking further modifications to applications or refusing the application.

		It is recommended that developers use the scoring system to improve the design of their proposed development before a planning application is submitted."
--	--	--

Chapter 6 – Transport assessments and Transport Statements

Paragraph 6.5	2nd and 3rd sentence to be replaced by "In such cases a Transport Assessment or Transport Statement will be required. In deciding whether or not a Transport Assessment or Transport Statement is necessary for medium and large proposals the Council refers to the suggested thresholds set out in 'Guidance on Transport Assessment' and replicated in Table 2."
Heading before paragraph 6.7 to paragraph 6.10	"Transport Assessment" is changed to "Transport Assessment or Transport Statement"

Chapter 8 – Air Quality Assessments

New paragraph		New paragraph after 8.5 "Electric vehicle
after 8.5		charging infrastructure will be required,
		at the discretion of the local highway
		authority, at a level appropriate to the
		type and scale of the development and in
		line with any future government
		guidance."

Appendix B – Accessibility Assessment System
--

Appendix B – Accessibility A (Minimum Accessibility Scores)	Heading for final Column to be replaced by "Minimum score for vehicle access / parking"
Appendix B (Accessibility Assessment Form - Access on foot)	Additional Column to be inserted headed "Justification for Scoring" In Location "Housing Development" to be replaced by "Residential Development" "Other Development" to be replaced by "Non-Residential Development"
Appendix B (Accessibility Assessment Form - Access by cycle)	Additional Column to be inserted headed "Justification for Scoring" In Location "Housing Development" to be replaced by "Residential Development" "Other Development" to be replaced by "Non-Residential Development" "1 mile" to be replaced by "1500 m" In Internal Layout Change from "Does 'circulation' and access inside the site reflect direct and safe cycle routes" to "Does 'circulation' and access inside the site reflect appropriate and direct cycle routes" In External Access Delete "(See Accessibility Maps)" In Other Change from "Development includes shower facilities and lockers for cyclists." to "Development includes cycle parking, shower facilities, and lockers for cyclists."

· · -	
Appendix B	Additional Column to be inserted headed
(Accessibility	"Justification for Scoring". In Location
Assessment	and access to public transport change
Form - Access	from "Is the site within a 200m walk of a
by Public	safe and convenient walking distance of
Transport)	a bus or tram stop, and/or within 400m of
. ,	a rail station? (See Accessibility Maps)"
	to "Is the site within a 200m walk of a bus
	or tram stop, and/or within 400m of a rail
	station?"Change from "Are there barriers
	on direct and safe pedestrian routes to
	bus or tram stops or rail stations i.e.: a
	lack of dropped kerbs; pavements less
	than 2m wide; a lack of formal crossings
	where there is heavy traffic; bus access
	kerbs" to "Are there barriers on direct
	pedestrian routes to bus or tram stops or
	rail stations e.g. a lack of dropped kerbs,
	footpaths less than 2m wide, a lack of
	formal crossings and or bus stop
	infrastructure."
	The Points Score for High Frequency
	has been amended from 2 to 1
	The Points Score for Medium Frequency
	has been amended from 1 to 0.5